

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,402	08/06/2003	Edward S. Ahn	220318	1210
23460 LEYDIG VOI	7590 10/28/201 Γ & MAYER, LTD	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAŽA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			SOROUSH, ALI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2010	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/635,402 AHN, EDWARD S.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALI SOROUSH	1616	

C	Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie	ame day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Exammer Note: If box 1 is chocked, check either box (a) or (b), Of Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been field is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortest forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than thay reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee and statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for ponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	 ie if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	7. Mer purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.69.70 and 79-85. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	
	Kawamura et al. to 5µm or less. Applicant's argument has bee been motivated to adjust the size of the particle size of TCP to	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: have been motivated to modify the particle size of TCP taught by en fully considered but found not to be persuasive. One would have uught by Kawamura et al. because Tanaka et al. teaches that TCP ye too fast or dissovle so slow as to inhibit tissue reconstruction.
	(See column 7, Lines 57-63).	
	Applicant further argues that the combined references fail to teach th Applicant's argument has been fully considered but found not is not given patentable in a product claim.	e production of tricalcium phosphate by a wet chemical approach. to be persuasive. The method of making the tricalcium phosphate
	Applicant argues that the instant invention is nonobvious over Kawan relied on Hakamatsuka et al. in any of the outstanding rejection are moot	nura et al. as modified by Hakamatsuka et al. The Examiner has no ns. Therefore, the arguments that result from this alleged rejection
	12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (PTO/13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>83110,101410,101510</u>

/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101021